

Haskell

David Cain

2012-12-05

History

- open source
- purely functional
- lazy
- Haskell Curry (1900 - 1982)



Functions

- Called with arguments space-delimited
 - `max 3 4` \rightarrow 4
 - `mod 10 3` \rightarrow 1
 - `div 10 3` \rightarrow 3
- Infix notation
 - `3 'max' 4`
 - `10 'mod' 3`
 - `10 'div' 3`

Fibonacci numbers

```
fib 0 = 0
```

```
fib 1 = 1
```

```
fib n = fib (n-1) + fib (n-2)
```

Lists

- Core data type
- Pythonic syntax
 - `[8,6,7,5,3,0,9]`
- Homogenous linked list
 - Valid: `['H','e','l','l','o']`
 - Illegal: `['W', 0, 'r','l','d']`

Chained lists

- Strings are lists
 - `"Dog" == ['D','o','g']`
- Head + rest of list (like Lisp)
 - `'H' : ['e','l','l','o']`

Ranges

- `[1,2..6]` → `[1,2,3,4,5,6]`
- `[4..8]` → `[4,5,6,7,8]`
- `[1,3..10]` → `[1,3,5,7,9]`
- `[10,20..]` → `[10,20,30,..]`

List comprehension

```
ten_squares = [x^2 | x <- [1..10]]  
odd_squares = [y | y <- ten_squares, odd y]
```

Typing system

- Types are static, inferred at compile time
- Ducks and donkeys
- Type classes
 - **not** classes in OO sense
 - kind of like Java interfaces (“only better”)
 - divide types based of kinds of behavior they support
 - [Eq], [Ord], [Num], [Show]

Polymorphism

- many kinds, deriving from type system
- Example: sorting algorithm
 - comparative: inferred for comparable types (those in [Ord])
 - bin sort (e.g. radix sort): inferred to work on numeric types

Type signatures

- Terse
- Give rules for parameters, state function behavior

```
sum :: Num x => [x] -> x
```

```
sum [] = 0
```

```
sum (x: xs) = x + sum xs
```

Custom types

- Standard built-in types (Double, Integer, Int, etc.)
- Aggregate types

ghci

- Glasgow Haskell Compiler
- Interactive shell (like `ipython` or `ruby`)

Custom data types

- Define a person
- Store information about a person

Look for:

- Type signature
- I/O
- Procedural code? ...

Side effects?

- I/O *action* is bound to name, not the result
- I/O contained within a monad

*Thus in Haskell, though it is a purely-functional language, side effects that **will be performed** by a computation can be dealt with and combined purely at the monad's composition time. . . While programs may describe impure effects and actions outside Haskell, they can still be combined and processed ("assembled") purely, inside Haskell, creating a pure Haskell value - a computation action description that describes an impure calculation. That is how Monads in Haskell **separate** between the pure and the impure.*

Haskell Wiki - Monad

To infinity...

```
squares = [x^2 | x <- [1..]]  
odd_squares = [y | y <- squares, odd y]
```

- Lists are infinite
- How is this valid?

Infinite lists are valid

- Key is lazy evaluation
- Computations only performed as needed

```
import Data.Array
arr = listArray (0, 1000) odd_squares
```

- Will just run infinitely if not bounded

Curried functions

Currying

- Haskell Curry
 - namesake
 - “re-discovered” (originally Schönfinkel)
- What happens if we call a function with too few arguments?
- Partial function application

Example

```
ghci> :t div
div :: Integral a => a -> a -> a
ghci> let div_fn = div 42
ghci> div_fn 21
2
ghci> div_fn 27
1
```

Criticism

- Language criticized for being overly “academic”
- High barriers to entry

Counter points

- fast programs
- rock-solid code
- gaining popularity

“Real World” programs

- pandoc
- xmonad
- darcs

Why learn Haskell?

- different paradigm
- fast code
- easy to debug
- new way to think about code
- lots of resources to help learn